REMARKS

Claim 1 has been amended to call for using said third and fourth wireless devices to forward communications between first and second wireless devices by automatically appending enumeration data on said communications. In other words, the third and fourth devices enable two separate out-of-range networks to communicate with one another and, particularly, to enable a first wireless device in the first piconet to communication with a second wireless device in the second piconet, even though they are out-of-range and are in different piconets. This is because the enumeration data is automatically appended through the third and fourth devices.

Support for the limitation may be found in the specification as originally filed starting at page 6, line 14.

Nothing of the sort is done in the cited references and, therefore, reconsideration is requested.

For example, with respect to claim 13 that calls for developing enumeration data for a plurality of devices in the first wireless piconet and communicating that data over the non-frequency network, the reference to Walley is cited. It is indicated that Walley communicates enumeration data over the non-radio frequency network, citing paragraphs 28 and 29. However, nothing in paragraphs 28 or 29 suggests enumerating a device in one network if another network of the two devices are out-of-range. Enumeration is a term of art described in the present specification starting at page 5, line 20.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: February 26, 2009

Timothy N. Tyop, Reg. No. 28,994 TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C. 1616 South Voss Road, Suite 750 Houston, TX 77057-2631

Houston, TX 77057-2631 713/468-8880 [Phone] 713/468-8883 [Fax]

Attorneys for Intel Corporation